



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,616	02/23/2004	Gerd O. Mueller	LUM-03-11-07	2975
32566	7590	02/06/2007	EXAMINER	
PATENT LAW GROUP LLP			KIKNADZE, IRAKLI	
2635 NORTH FIRST STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 223			2882	
SAN JOSE, CA 95134			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

NU

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/785,616	MUELLER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Irakli Kiknadze	2882	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: 18-27.

Claim(s) objected to: 3-5 and 14-16.

Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,6-13 and 17.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 28-30.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.


EDWARD J. GLICK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments that the prior art, Bawendi et al. (US Patent 6,501,091 B1), fails to teach that a wavelength converting material capable of emitting longer wavelength light disposed adjacent to a semiconductor light emitting device have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Bawendi's Fig. 1 shows one embodiment of the invention, wherein the quantum dots (18) generating green light are disposed adjacent to the semiconductor light-emitting device (10) (column 4, line 59) providing a blue primary light source (10) (column 5, line 60). Fig. 2 shows another embodiment, wherein the quantum dots (22) generating red light are disposed nearby the semiconductor light-emitting device (10). Bawendi merely teaches that the light will be composed of a mix of unabsorbed blue primary light, secondary green and red light, and hence will appear white to the observer. The relative amplitudes of the red, green, and blue components of the light can be controlled by varying the thickness and quantum dot concentrations of the red and green layers to produce an LED of a desired color (column 6, lines 5-21). Therefore, red quantum dots (22) disposed nearby the semiconductor light-emitting device (10) (see Fig. 2) are considered by examiner as the wavelength converting material capable of emitting longer wavelength light (red) disposed adjacent to the semiconductor light-emitting device (10). Rejection is maintained.